Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 48399 Stolt-Nielsen USA Inc. RN102562063 Docket No. 2014-0373-AIR-E

Order Type:

1660 Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A

Media:

AIR

Small Business:

No

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Stolt Tank Cleaning Facility and Depot, 16300 De Zavalla Road, Channelview, Harris

County

Type of Operation:

Tank container cleaning facility

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: July 18, 2014

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$20,251

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$4,050 **Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay:** \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$8,101 **Total Due to General Revenue:** \$0

Payment Plan: N/A

SEP Conditional Offset: \$8,100

Name of SEP: Barber's Hill Independent School District (Third-Party Pre-

Approved)

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - Satisfactory Site/RN - Satisfactory

Major Source: Yes

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2011

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 48399 Stolt-Nielsen USA Inc. RN102562063 Docket No. 2014-0373-AIR-E

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: January 6, 2014 through February 12, 2014

Date(s) of NOE(s): February 20, 2014

Violation Information

- 1. Failed to comply with the conditions regarding the number of containers cleaned per hour. Specifically, hydrazine and olefin sulfide containers are limited to one container cleaned per hour; however, on October 11, 2012 and June 11, 2013, two containers were cleaned per hour [30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 106.261(a)(3), 116.115(c), and 122.143(4), Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b), Federal Operating Permit ("FOP") No. O3016, Special Terms and Conditions ("STC") No. 8, and New Source Review ("NSR") Permit No. 23405, Special Conditions ("SC") No. 7].
- 2. Failed to comply with the volatile organic compound ("VOC") emissions specifications for surface coating. Specifically, on seven occasions between August 10, 2012 through September 9, 2012 and on one occasion on March 24, 2013, the Respondent used coating with greater than 3.5 pounds of VOC per gallon of coating [30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 115.421(a)(9)(A)(iii) and 122.143(4), Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b), and FOP No. O3016, STC No. 1A].
- 3. Failed to route emissions from container cleaning operations to the flare. Specifically, emissions from a container where the immediate past service of the container was a List I chemical were not vented to the flare on July 21, 2012, resulting in the unauthorized release of .0001 lb of para toluene sulfonic acid [30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 116.115(c) and 122.143(4), Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b), FOP No. O3016, STC No. 8, and NSR Permit No. 23405, SC No. 5].
- 4. Failed to prevent prohibited chemicals from being vented to the flare. Specifically, emissions from a container previously containing wastewater containing 2.5% propylene dichloride were vented to the flare on July 11, 2012, resulting in the unauthorized release of 0.15 lb of hydrogen chloride [30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 116.115(c) and 122.143(4), Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b), FOP No. O3016, STC No. 8, and NSR Permit No. 23405, SC Nos. 6 and 10].

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 48399 Stolt-Nielsen USA Inc. RN102562063 Docket No. 2014-0373-AIR-E

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

The Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures:

- a. On August 31, 2012, established an electronic work order database designed to display permit restrictions for specific chemicals;
- b. On February 3, 2014, installed equipment to create a visual notification of commonly cleaned compounds and their hourly permitted cleaning limits;
- c. On February 5, 2014, trained employees on compliance with the container per hour restrictions;
- d. On February 27, 2014, trained personnel to use compliant paints and implemented the use of a calculation workbook to assist in averaging coatings usage; and
- e. On February 28, 2014, disposed of non-compliant paints.

Technical Requirements:

The Order will require the Respondent to implement and complete a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). (See SEP Attachment A)

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A
Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A
SOAH Referral Date: N/A
Hearing Date(s): N/A
Settlement Date: N/A

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Amancio R. Gutierrez, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 5, MC 149, (512) 239-3921; Candy Garrett, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-1456

TCEQ SEP Coordinator: Stuart Beckley, SEP Coordinator, Enforcement Division,

MC 219, (512) 239-3565

Respondent: Dustin Callaway, TSD Director, Stolt-Nielsen USA Inc., 15635

Jacintoport Boulevard, Houston, Texas 77015

Respondent's Attorney: N/A

Attachment A

Docket Number: 2014-0373-AIR-E SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT

Respondent:	Stolt-Nielsen USA Inc.
Penalty Amount:	Sixteen Thousand Two Hundred One Dollars (\$16,201)
SEP Offset Amount:	Eight Thousand One Hundred Dollars (\$8,100)
Type of SEP:	Contribution to a Third-Party Pre- Approved SEP
Third-Party Administrator:	Barber's Hill Independent School District
Project Name:	Alternative Fuel School Bus Replacement
Location of SEP:	Chambers County

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") agrees to offset a portion of the penalty amount assessed in this Agreed Order for the Respondent to contribute to a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). The offset is equal to the SEP Offset Amount set forth above and is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

1. Project Description

a. Project

The Respondent shall contribute the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Administrator named above. The contribution will be to Barber's Hill Independent School District ("Barber's Hill ISD") for the Alternative Fuel School Bus Replacement program. The contribution will be used in accordance with the SEP Agreement between the Third-Party Administrator and the TCEQ (the "Project"). Specifically, the SEP Offset Amount shall be used to reduce carbon monoxide ("CO"), nitrogen oxides ("NO_x"), particulate matter ("PM"), and volatile organic compounds ("VOCs") emissions by replacing older school buses with new propane-fueled buses. The Third-Party Administrator shall ensure that each replacement bus purchased has an engine that meets 2010 EPA Standards. The Third-Party Administrator has invested in propane fueling infrastructure to support the operation of new propane-fueled buses. The Third-Party Administrator shall give preference to replacing the oldest, most polluting buses currently in use. Only older buses that are currently in regular use, driven on a regular route on a weekly basis for at least the past two years are eligible for replacement. The SEP Offset Amount will only be used for the purchase of a base model propane-fueled Replacement Bus. The Third-Party Administrator shall own and operate each Replacement Bus for at least five (5) years following the date of purchase. The SEP will

be performed in accordance with all federal, state, and local environmental laws and regulations.

All dollars contributed will be used for the direct cost of implementing the Project, including but not limited to supplies, materials, and equipment. Any portion of this contribution that is not able to be spent on the specifically identified SEP may, at the discretion of the Executive Director ("ED"), be applied to another pre-approved SEP.

The Respondent's signature affixed to this Agreed Order certifies that it has no prior commitment to make this contribution and that it is being contributed solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action. The Respondent shall not profit in any manner from this SEP.

b. Environmental Benefit

This SEP will directly benefit air quality by reducing harmful exhaust emissions that contribute to the formation of ozone and may cause or exacerbate a number of respiratory diseases, including asthma. For example, by replacing a 1989 diesel bus with a new 2010 ultra-low emission model, passengers' exposures to NO_x may be reduced by 98%; VOCs by 93%; CO by 83%; and PM by 99%.

c. Minimum Expenditure

The Respondent shall contribute at least the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Administrator and comply with all other provisions of this SEP.

2. Performance Schedule

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent must contribute the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Administrator. The Respondent shall make the check payable to **Barber's Hill ISD SEP** and shall mail the contribution with a copy of the Agreed Order to:

John Johnson, Consultant Carl R. Griffith & Associates, Inc. 2901 Turtle Creek Drive, Suite 445 Port Arthur, Texas 77642

3. Records and Reporting

Concurrent with the payment of the SEP Offset Amount, the Respondent shall provide the Enforcement Division SEP Coordinator with a copy of the check and transmittal letter indicating full payment of the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Administrator. The Respondent shall mail a copy of the check and transmittal letter to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enforcement Division Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 219 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

4. Failure to Fully Perform

If the Respondent does not perform its obligations under this Attachment A, including full expenditure of the SEP Offset Amount and submittal of required reporting described in Sections 2 and 3 above, the ED may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Offset Amount.

In the event the ED determines that the Respondent failed to fully implement and complete the Project, the Respondent shall remit payment for all or a portion of the SEP Offset Amount, as determined by the ED, and as set forth in the attached Agreed Order. After receiving notice of failure to complete the SEP, the Respondent shall include the docket number of the attached Agreed Order and a note that the enclosed payment is for the reimbursement of a SEP; shall make the check payable to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality;" and shall mail it to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Litigation Division Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 175 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

5. Publicity

Any public statements concerning this SEP made by or on behalf of the Respondent must include a clear statement that **the Project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ**. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

6. Clean Texas Program

The Respondent shall not include this SEP in any application made to TCEQ under the "Clean Texas" (or any successor) program(s). Similarly, the Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

7. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies

The SEP Offset Amount identified in this Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as a SEP for the Respondent under any other Agreed Order negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) PCW Revision August 3, 2011 Assigned 24-Feb-2014 PCW 19-May-2014 Screening 11-Mar-2014 **EPA Due** RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent Stolt-Nielsen USA Inc Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN102562063 Facility/Site Region 12-Houston Major/Minor Source Major **CASE INFORMATION** Enf./Case ID No. 48399 No. of Violations 4 Docket No. 2014-0373-AIR-E Order Type 1660 Media Program(s) Air Government/Non-Profit No Multi-Media Enf. Coordinator Amancio R. Gutierrez EC's Team Enforcement Team 5 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum \$0 Maximum \$25,000 Penalty Calculation Section TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) \$22,500 Subtotal 1 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. **Compliance History** 10.0% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 \$2,250 Notes Enhancement for two NOVs with same or similar violations. Culpability No 0.0% Enhancement Subtotal 4 \$0 Notes The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments Subtotal 5 -\$4,499 **Economic Benefit** 0.0% Enhancement* Subtotal 6 \$0 Total EB Amounts \$284 *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount Approx. Cost of Compliance SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 Final Subtotal \$20,251 OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REOUIRE 0.0% Adjustment \$0 Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage. Notes Final Penalty Amount \$20,251

Final Assessed Penalty

Adjustment

Reduction

20.0%

\$20,251

-\$4,050

\$16,201

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT

Notes

PAYABLE PENALTY

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

PCW

Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) PCW Revision August 3, 2011

Respondent Stolt-Nielsen USA Inc.

Case ID No. 48399

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102562063

Media [Statute] Air

Enf. Coordinator Amancio R. Gutierrez

Compliance History Worksheet

NOVs			7/10/-
14043	the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	2	10%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Alville	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
Audits	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which violations were disclosed</i>)	0	0%
	Ple	ase Enter Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 2)
at Violator (Subtotal 3)		
No	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 3)
liance Histo	ry Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
Satisfactory	Performer Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 7)
lliance Histo	ry Summary		
Compliance History Notes	Enhancement for two NOVs with same or similar violations.		
	Judgments and Consent Decrees Convictions Emissions Audits Other No Iliance Histo Satisfactory Iliance Histo Compliance History	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria) Any adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government Convictions Emissions Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events) Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted) Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed) Environmental management systems in place for one year or more Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements Adjustment Per at Violator (Subtotal 3) No Adjustment Per Iliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7) Satisfactory Performer Enhancement for two NOVs with same or similar violations.	Orders meeting criteria) Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgments or consent decrees meeting criteria) Any adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees ordining a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgments or consent decrees meeting criteria) Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government (number of counts) Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts) Emissions Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events) Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted) Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed) Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed) Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed) Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed) Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed) No Environmental management systems in place for one year or more Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program Participation in a voluntary pollut

PCW		Screening Date
ion 3 (September 2011) Revision August 3, 2011		Respondent Case ID No.
termon riagose sy Lorr		Reg. Ent. Reference No.
		Media (Statute) Enf. Coordinator
		Violation Number
	30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 106.261(a)(3), 116.115(c), and 122.143(4), Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b), Federal Operating Permit ("FOP") No. 03016, Special Terms and Conditions ("STC") No. 8, and New Source Review ("NSR") Permit No. 23405, Special Conditions ("SC") No. 7	Rule Cité(s)
	Failed to comply with the conditions regarding the number of containers cleaned per hour. Specifically, hydrazine and olefin sulfide containers are limited to one container cleaned per hour; however, on October 11, 2012 and June 11, 2013, two containers were cleaned per hour.	Violation Description
\$25,000	Base Penalty	
-	ty and Human Health Matrix	>> Environmental, Proper
	Harm Major Moderate Minor	Release
THE CONTROL OF THE CO		OR Actual Potential
	Forcest 15.070	
THE PARTY OF THE P	Major Moderate Minor	>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Percent 0.0%	
***************************************	h or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which	Human healt
	l levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.	
- account	Adjustment \$21,250	
	Aujustinent #21/230	
\$3,750	L	
***************************************		Violation Events
***************************************	Violation Events 2 2 Number of violation days	Number of '
\$7,500	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual armual single event x	mark only one with an x
The state of the s	Two single events are recommended.	
\$1,875	ply 25.0% Reduction	Good Faith Efforts to Com
	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary	
distant distant	Ordinary x	
alica in a second	N/A (mark with x)	
	The Respondent completed corrective measures on Notes February 5, 2014, before the February 20, 2014 Notice of Enforcement ("NOE").	
\$5,625	Violation Subtotal	
	this violation Statutory Limit Test	Economic Benefit (EB) for
\$6,375	red EB Amount \$79 Violation Final Penalty Total	Estimat
\$6,375	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)	

	E	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent Case ID No.	48399				######################################		
Reg. Ent. Reference No. Media Violation No.	Air	3				Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
violation No.	1					5.0	Depreciation 15
	Thom Cost	Date Required	Final Date	V	Interest Saved		EB Amount
Item Description			i mai Date	112	tinerest Saveu	Onetime Costs	LO AIROUIL
item bescription	NO COMMISS OF \$	•					
Delayed Costs							
Equipment	\$500	11-Oct-2012	5-Feb-2014	1.32	\$2	\$44	\$46
Buildings		1 - 1 - 1 - 1		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling	\$500	11-Oct-2012	3-Feb-2014	1.32	\$33	n/a	\$33
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a per hour restrictions	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs	cleaning limit	s. The Date Requi	red is the first (date of compli	non-compliance a ance.	ounds and their hour nd the Final Dates a	re the dates of
Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	TSE [1] avoided	costs before	Therese was a second		for one-time avoid	
Disposal	100			0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	<u>\$0</u>
Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0 \$0	<u>\$0</u> \$0	\$0 \$0
Financial Assurance [2]		1		0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs				n VIVV			
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$1,000			TOTAL		\$79

Screening Date		Docket No. 2014-0373-AIR-E	PCW
Respondent Case ID No.	Stolt-Nielsen USA Inc.		Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)
Reg. Ent. Reference No.			PCW Revision August 3, 2011
Media [Statute]			
Enf. Coordinator Violation Number			***************************************
		15.421(a)(9)(A)(iii) and 122.143(4), Tex. Healt	h & Safety
,	Code § 38	2.085(b), and FOP No. 03016, STC No. 1A	
Violation Description	for surface coating. Spe September 9, 2012 and o	volatile organic compound ("VOC") emissions specifically, on seven occasions between August 10 on one occasion on March 24, 2013, the Respondator than 3.5 pounds of VOC per gallon of coatin	through dent used
		Bas	e Penalty \$25,000
>> Environmental, Proper		h Matrix	
Release	Harm Major Moderate	e Minor	
OR Actual Potential		X Percent 15.0%	
		L 15.070	
>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification	Major Moderato	e Minor	
		Percent 0.0%	
Human health	or the environment has be	en exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutant	s which do
MATRY #		iuman health or environmental receptors as a re	
		violation.	
		Adjustment	\$21,250
			\$3,750
Violation Events			
Number of \	Violation Events 2	8 Number of violation	days
mark only one with an x	daily weekly monthly quarterly x	Violation Bas	e Penaity \$7,500
	annual		
	single event		
4 Mg		(one quarterly event for the dates of non-compl ober 9, 2012 and one quarterly event for March	2 121 272 2000 36
Good Faith Efforts to Com	ply 10.0	% Reduction	\$750
	Before NO	V NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
	Ordinary	ng (1911)	
	N/A	(mark with x)	
	NOTACE	spondent completed corrective measures on y 28, 2014, after the February 20, 2014 NOE.	
		Violation	Subtotal \$6,750
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation	Statutory Limit	t Test
	ed EB Amount	\$66 Violation Final Pen	
	Name of the second seco	violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted f	_
		,) ,	7./500]

	Stolt-Nielsen l	conomic JSA Inc.					
Case ID No.							
leg. Ent. Reference No.		•				1	
Media						Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.	2	100 - 100 - 20 - 100 -					Depreciation
						5.0	1!
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$250	10-Aug-2012		1.55	\$19	n/a	\$19
Training/Sampling	\$500	10-Aug-2012	27-Feb-2014	1.55	\$39	n/a	\$39
Remediation/Disposal	\$100	10-Aug-2012	28-Feb-2014	1.55	\$8	n/a	\$8
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
	Estimated cos	ts to train person	nel to use comp	liant pa	aints, to implemen	nt the use of a calcul	lation workbool
Avoided Costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	to assist in a	averaging coating first date of non-	s usage, and to compliance and	dispose the Fi	e of non-complian nal Dates are the	the use of a calcul t paints. The Date R dates of compliance for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	equired is the
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	to assist in a	averaging coating first date of non-	s usage, and to compliance and	the Fire contents of the conte	e of non-complian nal Dates are the ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	t paints. The Date R dates of compliance for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Screening Date		Docket No. 2014-0373-AIR-E	PCW
Kespondent Case ID No.	Stolt-Nielsen USA Inc. 48399		Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) PCW Revision August 3, 2011
Reg. Ent. Reference No.			-
Media [Statute]	Air Amancio R. Gutierrez		
Violation Number			
Rule Cite(s)		i 116.115(c) and 122.143(4), Tex. Health & Safety 03016, STC No. 8, and NSR Permit No. 23405, SC	
Violation Description	Specifically, emissions f container was a List I	ssions from container cleaning operations to the flat from a container where the immediate past service chemical were not vented to the flare on July 21, norized release of 0.0001 lb of para toluene sulfoni	e of the 2012,
		Base	Penalty \$25,000
>> Environmental, Proper	tv and Human Heal	th Matrix	
	Harm		
Release OR Actual		te Minor	
Potential		Percent 15.0%	***************************************
>>Programmatic Matrix			The state of the s
Falsification	Major Moderat II II		
		Percent 0.0%	
WINDS WAFRY B		een exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants	. 31
Notes Notes	veis that are protective or i	human health or environmental receptors as a res violation.	uit or the
<u> </u>			
		Adjustment	\$21,250
			\$3,750
Violation Events			
Number of	Violation Events 1	1 Number of violation of	lays
	•		•
mark only one with an x	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event	Violation Base	Penalty \$3,750
	One quar	terly event is recommended.	
Good Faith Efforts to Com	ply 25.0	0% Reduction	\$937
	Before NC Extraordinary	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
	Ordinary x		
	N/A	(mark with x)	
All and a second		espondent completed corrective measures on 31, 2012, before the February 20, 2014 NOE.	
***************************************	Na mantem-values announces	Violation	Subtotal \$2,813
Economic Donoft (ED) (· bl aic vizta t		
Economic Benefit (EB) for		Statutory Limit	
Estimat	ed EB Amount	\$139 Violation Final Pena	Ity Total \$3,188
	This	violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted fo	r limits) \$3,188

	E	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent	Stolt-Nielsen (JSA Inc.					
Case ID No.	48399						
eg. Ent. Reference No.	RN102562063						
Media	Air					Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.	3					reiteiit miteiest	Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$	•					
	and the state of t						
Delaved Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$22,000	16-Jul-2012	31-Aug-2012	0.13	\$139	n/a	\$139
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	l n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs			the Final Date	e is the	date of complianc	ne first date of non- e. for one-time avoid	
Avoided Costs Disposal	ANNOAL		COSIS DEIGIE	0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
spection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
				2	1 -	And the second section of the first of the second section of the sectio	
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed) Notes for AVOIDED costs				<u> 0.00</u>	50	SU	\$0

Screening Date		Docket No. 2014-0373-AIR-E	PCW
	Stolt-Nielsen USA Inc.		ion 3 (September 2011)
Case ID No. Reg. Ent. Reference No.		PCW I	Revision August 3, 2011
Media [Statute]			
Enf. Coordinator			
Violation Number			
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code §§	116.115(c) and 122.143(4), Tex. Health & Safety Code §	
	382.085(b), FOP No. О30	16, STC No. 8, and NSR Permit No. 23405, SC Nos. 6 and	
		10	
	Failed to prevent prohibi	ted chemicals from being vented to the flare. Specifically,	
Violation Description	emissions from a conta	ainer previously containing wastewater containing 2.5%	
•		ere vented to the flare on July 11, 2012, resulting in the	
-	taled to local	zed release of 0.15 lb of hydrogen chloride.	
		Page Populty	\$25,000
		Base Penalty	\$23,000]
>> Environmental, Proper		th Matrix	
Release	Harm Major Moderate	e Minor	
OR Actual			
Potential		Percent 15.0%	
>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification	Major Moderato	e Minor	
		Percent 0.0%	TO THE STATE OF TH
		een exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which do	
Notes not exceed le	vels that are protective of h	numan health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.	
<u> </u>		youtuil.	
		Adjustment \$21,250	
			\$3,750
		ì	\$3,750
Violation Events			
Number of	Violation Events 1	1 Number of violation days	
Nothber of	VIOIBUOTI EVETICS	Marriel of Violation days	
respondent de la companya de la comp	daily		
ver une en e	weekly		
mark only one	monthly	Violation Base Penalty	\$3,750
with an x	quarterly <u>x</u> semiannual	Violation base renalty	\$3,730
	annual		*
	single event		and a six of
			1,747.6
	One quart	terly event is recommended.	Ошин
Good Faith Efforts to Com	ply 25.0	04 004 484	\$937
GOOD FAILH EIROITS TO COIL	Before NO		3537
нини	Extraordinary		
and distant	Ordinary x		
ALLE TO THE TOTAL THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TOT	N/A	(mark with x)	
To a delicate the second secon	The Re	espondent completed corrective measures on	
пинини	Notes August	31, 2012, before the February 20, 2014 NOE.	
пини	L.		
MALE PARTIES AND ADDRESS AND A		Violation Subtotal	\$2,813
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation	Statutory Limit Test	
Estimat	ed EB Amount	\$0 Violation Final Penalty Total	\$3,188
	This	violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)	\$3,188

	E	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent Case ID No. Leg. Ent. Reference No.	48399 RN102562063		######################################				
Media Violation No.						Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
***************************************						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction		<u> </u>		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a n/a	\$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs			Economic Bene	fit inclu	ded in Violation N	o. 3	
Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	enterir	na item (except	for one-time avoid	led costs)
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
spection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0 1	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							
	<u> </u>	عنائلا والتنائلين والمتعاد والمتعاد					

To request a more accessible version of this report, please contact the TCEQ Help Desk at (512) 239-4357.



Compliance History Report

PUBLISHED Compliance History Report for CN602524019, RN102562063, Rating Year 2013 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2008, through August 31, 2013.

Customer, Respondent, or CN602524019, Stolt-Nielsen USA Inc. Classification: SATISFACTORY Rating: 1.67 Owner/Operator: Regulated Entity: RN102562063, STOLT TANK CLEANING Classification: SATISFACTORY Rating: 2.23 FACILITY AND DEPOT NO 12 Repeat Violator: **Complexity Points:** 14 - Other CH Group: 77530-4667, HARRIS COUNTY 16300 DE ZAVALLA RD CHANNELVIEW, TX Location: **REGION 12 - HOUSTON** TCEQ Region: ID Number(s): **AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS PERMIT 23405 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 35015 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 31283 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 38465** AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS ACCOUNT NUMBER HG4078U ATR NEW SOURCE PERMITS AFS NUM 4820101476 **AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 72394 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 70631 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 79698 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 79635 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 84527 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 81528 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 87680 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 85368 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS PERMIT 77691 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 76452 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 92026 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 90552 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 92633** AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 91622 **AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 101755 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 95519 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 109373 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 103602 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 91429 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 117306** AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 101910 **AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 95879** INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE EPA ID INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE SOLID WASTE REGISTRATION # (SWR) 83712 TX0000326819 AIR OPERATING PERMITS ACCOUNT NUMBER HG4078U STORMWATER PERMIT TXR05W207 **AIR OPERATING PERMITS PERMIT 3016 AIR OPERATING PERMITS PERMIT 3013** AIR EMISSIONS INVENTORY ACCOUNT NUMBER HG4078U **AIR OPERATING PERMITS PERMIT 3016**

POLLUTION PREVENTION PLANNING ID NUMBER P05677

Rating Year: 2013 09/01/2013 Rating Date: September 01, 2008 to August 31, 2013 **Compliance History Period:** March 28, 2014 **Date Compliance History Report Prepared: Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:** Enforcement March 28, 2009 to March 28, 2014 **Component Period Selected:**

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding This Compliance History.

Phone: (512) 239-3921 Name: Amancio R. Gutierrez

Site and Owner/Operator History:

1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

YES

2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

NO

3) If YES for #2, who is the current owner/operator?

N/A

4) If YES for #2, who was/were the prior

N/A

owner(s)/operator(s)?

5) If **YES**, when did the change(s) in owner or operator

N/A

occur?

Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

A. Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees:

B. Criminal convictions:

C. Chronic excessive emissions events:

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

July 06, 2010

(829361)

Item 2

November 28, 2011

(969570)

E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

09/27/2013 Self Report?

(1116272)

CN602524019

Classification:

Minor

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c) 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b) Special Condition (SC) 5 PERMIT

Special Terms and Conditions (ST&C) 14 OP

Description:

Failure to vent emissions from cleaning to the flare (FL-1).

Self Report?

Classification:

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.116(a)(1)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Description:

Failure to follow the conditions upon which New Source Review Permits issued.

2

Date:

02/20/2014

NO

(1135139)

CN602524019

Moderate

Citation:

Self Report? NO

> 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c) 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Special Condition (SC) 1 PERMIT Special Term and Condition (ST&C) 8 OP

Description:

Failure to prevent unauthorized emissions. (Category B 14)

F. Environmental audits:

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs):

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates:

Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program:

Early compliance:

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas:

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	8	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
STOLT-NIELSEN USA INC.	§	
RN102562063	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2014-0373-AIR-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

On ________, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Stolt-Nielsen USA Inc. ("Respondent") under the authority of Tex. Health & Safety Code ch. 382 and Tex. Water Code ch. 7. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a tank container cleaning facility at 16300 De Zavalla Road in Channelview, Harris County, Texas (the "Plant").
- 2. The Plant consists of one or more sources as defined in Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.003(12).
- 3. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about February 25, 2014.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Twenty Thousand Two Hundred Fifty-One Dollars (\$20,251) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Eight Thousand One Hundred One Dollars (\$8,101) of the administrative penalty and Four Thousand Fifty Dollars

(\$4,050) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty. Eight Thousand One Hundred Dollars (\$8,100) shall be conditionally offset by the Respondent's completion of a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP").

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures at the Plant:
 - a. On August 31, 2012, established an electronic work order database designed to display permit restrictions for specific chemicals;
 - b. On February 3, 2014, installed equipment to create a visual notification of commonly cleaned compounds and their hourly permitted cleaning limits;
 - c. On February 5, 2014, trained employees on compliance with the container per hour restrictions;
 - d. On February 27, 2014, trained personnel to use compliant paints and implemented the use of a calculation workbook to assist in averaging coatings usage; and
 - e. On February 28, 2014, disposed of non-compliant paints.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Plant, the Respondent is alleged to have:

- 1. Failed to comply with the conditions regarding the number of containers cleaned per hour, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 106.261(a)(3), 116.115(c), and 122.143(4), Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b), Federal Operating Permit ("FOP") No. O3016, Special Terms and Conditions ("STC") No. 8, and New Source Review ("NSR") Permit No. 23405, Special Conditions ("SC") No. 7, as documented during a record review conducted from January 6, 2014 through February 12, 2014. Specifically, hydrazine and olefin sulfide containers are limited to one container cleaned per hour; however, on October 11, 2012 and June 11, 2013, two containers were cleaned per hour.
- 2. Failed to comply with the volatile organic compound ("VOC") emissions specifications for surface coating, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 115.421(a)(9)(A)(iii) and 122.143(4), Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b), and FOP No. 03016, STC No. 1A, as documented during a record review conducted from January 6, 2014 through February 12, 2014. Specifically, on seven occasions between August 10, 2012 through September 9, 2012 and on one occasion on March 24, 2013, the Respondent used coating with greater than 3.5 pounds of VOC per gallon of coating.
- 3. Failed to route emissions from container cleaning operations to the flare, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 116.115(c) and 122.143(4), Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b), FOP No. O3016, STC No. 8, and NSR Permit No. 23405, SC No. 5, as documented during a record review conducted from January 6, 2014 through February 12, 2014. Specifically, emissions from a container where the immediate past service of the container was a List I chemical were not vented to the flare on July 21, 2012, resulting in the unauthorized release of .0001 lb of para toluene sulfonic acid.
- 4. Failed to prevent prohibited chemicals from being vented to the flare, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 116.115(c) and 122.143(4), Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b), FOP No. 03016, STC No. 8, and NSR Permit No. 23405, SC Nos. 6 and 10, as documented during a record review conducted from January 6, 2014 through February 12, 2014. Specifically, emissions from a container previously containing wastewater containing 2.5% propylene dichloride were vented to the flare on July 11, 2012, resulting in the unauthorized release of 0.15 lb of hydrogen chloride.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Stolt-Nielsen USA Inc., Docket No. 2014-0373-AIR-E" to:

Stolt-Nielsen USA Inc. DOCKET NO. 2014-0373-AIR-E Page 4

> Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The Respondent shall implement and complete a SEP in accordance with Tex. Water Code §7.067. As set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above, Eight Thousand One Hundred Dollars (\$8,100) of the assessed administrative penalty shall be offset with the condition that the SEP defined in Attachment A, incorporated herein by reference, is implemented by the Respondent. The Respondent's obligation to pay the conditionally offset portion of the administrative penalty assessed shall be discharged upon final completion of all provisions of the SEP agreement.
- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Plant operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 7. This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or

Stolt-Nielsen USA Inc. DOCKET NO. 2014-0373-AIR-E Page 5

authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under Tex. Bus. Org. Code § 1.002.

8. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier.

Stolt-Nielsen USA Inc. DOCKET NO. 2014-0373-AIR-E Page 6

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
Penn Move J For the Executive Director	Date Legister
agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf	d the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to f of the entity indicated below my signature, and I therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in materially relying on such representation.
 and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amout A negative impact on compliance histor Greater scrutiny of any permit applicat Referral of this case to the Attorney additional penalties, and/or attorney fermion Increased penalties in any future enfort Automatic referral to the Attorney Genand TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized 	ry; ions submitted; General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief ees, or to a collection agency; cement actions; eneral's Office of any future enforcement actions
Signature Company	Date 10-19
Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of Stolt-Nielsen USA Inc.	TSD Director Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

Attachment A

Docket Number: 2014-0373-AIR-E SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT

Respondent:	Stolt-Nielsen USA Inc.
Penalty Amount:	Sixteen Thousand Two Hundred One Dollars (\$16,201)
SEP Offset Amount:	Eight Thousand One Hundred Dollars (\$8,100)
Type of SEP:	Contribution to a Third-Party Pre- Approved SEP
Third-Party Administrator:	Barber's Hill Independent School District
Project Name:	Alternative Fuel School Bus Replacement
Location of SEP:	Chambers County

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") agrees to offset a portion of the penalty amount assessed in this Agreed Order for the Respondent to contribute to a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). The offset is equal to the SEP Offset Amount set forth above and is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

1. Project Description

a. Project

The Respondent shall contribute the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Administrator named above. The contribution will be to **Barber's Hill Independent** School District ("Barber's Hill ISD") for the Alternative Fuel School Bus Replacement program. The contribution will be used in accordance with the SEP Agreement between the Third-Party Administrator and the TCEQ (the "Project"). Specifically, the SEP Offset Amount shall be used to reduce carbon monoxide ("CO"), nitrogen oxides ("NO_x"), particulate matter ("PM"), and volatile organic compounds ("VOCs") emissions by replacing older school buses with new propane-fueled buses. The Third-Party Administrator shall ensure that each replacement bus purchased has an engine that meets 2010 EPA Standards. The Third-Party Administrator has invested in propane fueling infrastructure to support the operation of new propane-fueled buses. The Third-Party Administrator shall give preference to replacing the oldest, most polluting buses currently in use. Only older buses that are currently in regular use, driven on a regular route on a weekly basis for at least the past two years are eligible for replacement. The SEP Offset Amount will only be used for the purchase of a base model propane-fueled Replacement Bus. The Third-Party Administrator shall own and operate each Replacement Bus for at least five (5) years following the date of purchase. The SEP will

be performed in accordance with all federal, state, and local environmental laws and regulations.

All dollars contributed will be used for the direct cost of implementing the Project, including but not limited to supplies, materials, and equipment. Any portion of this contribution that is not able to be spent on the specifically identified SEP may, at the discretion of the Executive Director ("ED"), be applied to another pre-approved SEP.

The Respondent's signature affixed to this Agreed Order certifies that it has no prior commitment to make this contribution and that it is being contributed solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action. The Respondent shall not profit in any manner from this SEP.

b. Environmental Benefit

This SEP will directly benefit air quality by reducing harmful exhaust emissions that contribute to the formation of ozone and may cause or exacerbate a number of respiratory diseases, including asthma. For example, by replacing a 1989 diesel bus with a new 2010 ultra-low emission model, passengers' exposures to NO_x may be reduced by 98%; VOCs by 93%; CO by 83%; and PM by 99%.

c. Minimum Expenditure

The Respondent shall contribute at least the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Administrator and comply with all other provisions of this SEP.

2. Performance Schedule

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent must contribute the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Administrator. The Respondent shall make the check payable to **Barber's Hill ISD SEP** and shall mail the contribution with a copy of the Agreed Order to:

John Johnson, Consultant Carl R. Griffith & Associates, Inc. 2901 Turtle Creek Drive, Suite 445 Port Arthur, Texas 77642

3. Records and Reporting

Concurrent with the payment of the SEP Offset Amount, the Respondent shall provide the Enforcement Division SEP Coordinator with a copy of the check and transmittal letter indicating full payment of the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Administrator. The Respondent shall mail a copy of the check and transmittal letter to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enforcement Division Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 219 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

4. Failure to Fully Perform

If the Respondent does not perform its obligations under this Attachment A, including full expenditure of the SEP Offset Amount and submittal of required reporting described in Sections 2 and 3 above, the ED may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Offset Amount.

In the event the ED determines that the Respondent failed to fully implement and complete the Project, the Respondent shall remit payment for all or a portion of the SEP Offset Amount, as determined by the ED, and as set forth in the attached Agreed Order. After receiving notice of failure to complete the SEP, the Respondent shall include the docket number of the attached Agreed Order and a note that the enclosed payment is for the reimbursement of a SEP; shall make the check payable to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality;" and shall mail it to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Litigation Division Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 175 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

5. Publicity

Any public statements concerning this SEP made by or on behalf of the Respondent must include a clear statement that **the Project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ**. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

6. Clean Texas Program

The Respondent shall not include this SEP in any application made to TCEQ under the "Clean Texas" (or any successor) program(s). Similarly, the Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

7. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies

The SEP Offset Amount identified in this Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as a SEP for the Respondent under any other Agreed Order negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.